arXiv:1611.03791v1 [math.FA] 11 Nov 2016

CONVOLUTION GENERATED BY RIESZ BASES

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY AND NIYAZ TOKMAGAMBETOV

Abstract. In this note we discuss notions of convolutions generated by biorthogonal systems of elements of a Hilbert space. We describe the associated biorthogonal Fourier analysis, discuss properties of convolutions and give a number of examples.

1. Introduction

In this work we introduce a notion of a convolution generated by systems of elements of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ forming a Riesz basis.

Such collections often arise as systems of eigenfunctions of densely defined non-self-asjoint operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$, and a suitable notion of convolution also leads to the development of the associated Fourier analysis. In the case of the eigenfunctions having no zeros the corresponding global theory of pseudo-differential operators has been recently developed in [RT16]. The assumption on eigenfunctions having no zeros has been subsequently removed in [RT16a], and some applications of such analysis to the wave equation for the Landau Hamiltonian were carried out in [RT16b]. The analysis in these papers relied on the spectral properties of a fixed operator acting in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M)$ for a smooth manifold M with or without boundary.

In this note we aim at discussing an abstract point of view on convolutions when one is given only a Riesz basis in a Hilbert space, without making additional assumptions on an operator for which it may be a basis of eigenfunctions. More specifically, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, and denote by

$$\mathcal{U} := \{u_\xi|\ u_\xi \in \mathcal{H}\}_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} := \{v_\xi|\ v_\xi \in \mathcal{H}\}_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}$$

collections of elements of $\mathcal{H}$ parametrised by a discrete set $\mathcal{I}$. We assume that the system $\mathcal{U}$ is a basis of the space $\mathcal{H}$ and the system $\mathcal{V}$ is biorthogonal to $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. we have the property that

$$(u_\xi, v_\eta)_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{\xi\eta},$$

where $\delta_{\xi\eta}$ is the Kronecker delta, equal to 1 for $\xi = \eta$, and to 0 otherwise. Then from the classical Bari's work [Bar51] (see also Gelfand [Gel51]) it follows that the system $\mathcal{V}$ is also basis in $\mathcal{H}$.

Date: November 14, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A85, 44A35.

Key words and phrases. convolution, basis, biorthogonal system, Hilbert space.

The authors were supported in parts by the EPSRC grant EP/K039407/1 and by the Leverhulme Grant RPG-2014-02, as well as by the MESRK grant 0773/GF4. No new data was collected or generated during the course of research.

We define $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–convolutions in the following form:

$$f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} (f, v_\xi)(g, v_\xi)u_\xi \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$h \star_{\mathcal{V}} j := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} (h, u_\xi)(j, u_\xi)v_\xi \tag{1.2}$$

for appropriate elements $f, g, h, j \in \mathcal{H}$. These convolutions are clearly commutative and associative, and have a number of properties expected from convolutions, most importantly, they are mapped to the product by the naturally defined Fourier transforms associated to $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$. The rigorous treatment of such properties will be given in this paper.

Let us conclude the introduction by giving an example relating this notion to the spectral analysis. Let us consider the operator $\mathcal{L}$ on the interval $(0, 1)$ given by

$$\mathcal{L} := -i\frac{d}{dx},$$

and let us equip this operator with boundary condition $hy(0) = y(1)$ for some $h > 0$. The operator $\mathcal{L}$ is not self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, 1)$ for $h \neq 1$. The spectral properties of $\mathcal{L}$ have been thoroughly investigated by e.g. Titchmarsh [Tit26] and Cartwright [Car30]. In particular, it is known that the collections

$$\mathcal{U} = \{u_j(x) = h^x e^{2\pi i x j},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} = \{v_j(x) = h^{-x} e^{2\pi i x j},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \tag{1.4}$$

are the systems of eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$, and form Riesz bases in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, 1)$. In this case the abstract definition of convolution above yields the concrete expression

$$(f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g)(x) = \int_0^x f(x - t)g(t)dt + \frac{1}{h}\int_x^1 f(1 + x - t)g(t)dt,$$

which coincides with the usual convolution for $h = 1$, in which case also $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$ is the orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. Of course, in this example, the main interest for us is the case $h \neq 1$ corresponding to biorthogonal bases $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ in (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

In this paper, to avoid any confusion, we will be using the notation $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

2. Biorthogonal convolutions

In this section we describe the functional analytic setting for investigating convolutions (1.1) and (1.2). Let us take biorthogonal systems

$$\mathcal{U} := \{u_\xi|\ u_\xi \in \mathcal{H}\}_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} := \{v_\xi|\ v_\xi \in \mathcal{H}\}_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}$$

in a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is a discrete set. We assume that $\mathcal{U}$ (and hence also $\mathcal{V}$) is a Riesz basis in $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. any element of $\mathcal{H}$ has a unique decomposition with respect of the elements of $\mathcal{U}$.

Before we proceed with describing a version of the biorthogonal Fourier analysis, let us show that expressions in (1.1) and (1.2) are usually well-defined.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g$ and $h \star_{\mathcal{V}} j$ be defined by* (1.1) *and* (1.2)*, respectively, that is,*

$$f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} (f, v_\xi)(g, v_\xi)u_\xi \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$h \star_{\mathcal{V}} j := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} (h, u_\xi)(j, u_\xi)v_\xi. \tag{2.2}$$

Suppose that the families $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{H}$. Then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that we have

$$\|f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le M \sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \|h \star_{\mathcal{V}} j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le M \sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|j\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $f, g, h, j \in \mathcal{H}$.

The statement follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the following fact: since systems of u_ξ and of v_ξ are Riesz bases in $\mathcal{H}$, from [Bar51, Theorem 9] we have:

Lemma 2.2. *There are constants $a, A, b, B > 0$ such that for arbitrary $g \in \mathcal{H}$ we obtain*

$$a^2\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |(g, v_\xi)|^2 \le A^2\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b^2\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |(g, u_\xi)|^2 \le B^2\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Therefore, from now on we can assume that the families $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{H}$, that is,

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty.$$

Let us introduce $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–Fourier transforms by formulas

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(f)(\xi) := (f, v_\xi) =: \widehat{f}(\xi) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(g)(\xi) := (g, u_\xi) =: \widehat{g}_*(\xi), \tag{2.5}$$

respectively, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and for each $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$. Their inverses are given by

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}a)(x) := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)u_\xi \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}a)(x) := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)v_\xi. \tag{2.7}$$

There is a straightforward relation between $\mathcal{U}$- and $\mathcal{V}$-convolutions, and the Fourier transforms:

Theorem 2.3. *For arbitrary $f, g, h, j \in \mathcal{H}$ we have*

$$\widehat{f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g} = \widehat{f}\,\widehat{g}, \quad \widehat{h \star_{\mathcal{V}} j}_* = \widehat{h}_*\,\widehat{j}_*.$$

Therefore, the convolutions are commutative and associative.

Let $K : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a bilinear operation. If for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, the form $K(f, g)$ satisfies the property

$$\widehat{K(f,g)} = \widehat{f}\,\widehat{g} \tag{2.8}$$

then K is the $\mathcal{U}$–convolution, i.e. $K(f, g) = f *_{\mathcal{U}} g$.

Similarly, if $K(f, g)$ satisfies the property

$$\widehat{K(f,g)}_* = \widehat{f}_*\,\widehat{g}_* \tag{2.9}$$

then K is the $\mathcal{V}$–convolution, i.e. $K(f, g) = f *_{\mathcal{V}} g$.

Proof. Direct calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g)(\xi) &= (\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\eta)\widehat{g}(\eta)u_\eta, v_\xi) \\
&= \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\eta)\widehat{g}(\eta)(u_\eta, v_\xi) \\
&= \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Commutativity follows from the bijectivity of the $\mathcal{U}$–Fourier transform, also implying the associativity. This can be also seen from the definition:

$$\begin{aligned}
((f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g) \star_{\mathcal{U}} h) &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} (\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\eta)\widehat{g}(\eta)u_\eta, v_\xi)\widehat{h}(\xi)u_\xi \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)\widehat{h}(\xi)u_\xi \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi) \left[\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{g}(\eta)\widehat{h}(\eta)(u_\eta, v_\xi)\right] u_\xi \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)(\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{g}(\eta)\widehat{h}(\eta)u_\eta, v_\xi)u_\xi \\
&= (f \star_{\mathcal{U}} (g \star_{\mathcal{U}} h)).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, it is enough to prove that K is the $\mathcal{U}$-convolution under the assumption (2.8). The similar property for $\mathcal{V}$-convolutions under assumption (2.9) follows by simply replacing $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathcal{V}$ in the part concerning $\mathcal{U}$-convolutions.

Since for arbitrary $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and for $K(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}$ the property (2.8) is valid then we can regain $K(f, g)$ from the inverse $\mathcal{U}$–Fourier formula

$$K(f,g) = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{K(f,g)}(\xi)u_\xi = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\,\widehat{g}(\xi)u_\xi.$$

The last expression defines the $\mathcal{U}$–convolution. □

3. Biorthogonal Fourier analysis

From Lemma 2.2 we can conclude that the $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–Fourier coefficients of the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ are from the space of square-summable sequences $l^2(\mathcal{I})$. However, we note that the Plancherel identity can be also achieved in suitably defined l^2-spaces of Fourier coefficients, see [RT16, Proposition 6.1]. We explain it now in the present setting.

Indeed, the frame property in Lemma 2.2 can be improved to the exact Plancherel formula with a suitable choice of norms.

3.1. **Plancherel formula.** Let us denote by

$$l^2_{\mathcal{U}} = l^2(\mathcal{U})$$

the linear space of complex-valued functions a on $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}a \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. if there exists $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}f = a$. Then the space of sequences $l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(a,\ b)_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}b)(\xi)}, \tag{3.1}$$

for arbitrary $a,\, b \in l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$. The reason for this choice of the definition is the following formal calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a,\ b)_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}b)(\xi)} \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)\overline{\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}b, u_\xi\right)} \\
&= \left(\left[\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)u_\xi\right], \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}b\right) \\
&= (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}a,\, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}b),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the Hilbert space properties of the space of sequences $l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$. The norm of $l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$ is then given by the formula

$$\|a\|_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} = \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}a)(\xi)}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for all } \ a \in l^2_{\mathcal{U}}. \tag{3.2}$$

We note that individual terms in this sum may be complex-valued but the whole sum is real and non-negative.

Analogously, we introduce the Hilbert space

$$l^2_{\mathcal{V}} = l^2(\mathcal{V})$$

as the space of functions a on $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}a \in \mathcal{H}$, with the inner product

$$(a,\ b)_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}} := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}b)(\xi)}, \tag{3.3}$$

for arbitrary a, $b \in l^2_{\mathcal{V}}$. The norm of $l^2_{\mathcal{V}}$ is given by the formula

$$\|a\|_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}} = \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} a(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} a)(\xi)} \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $a \in l^2_{\mathcal{V}}$. The spaces of sequences $l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $l^2_{\mathcal{V}}$ are thus generated by biorthogonal systems $\{u_\xi\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $\{v_\xi\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}}$. The reason for their definition in the above forms becomes clear again in view of the following Plancherel identity:

Theorem 3.1. (Plancherel's identity) *If f, $g \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\widehat{f}$, $\widehat{g} \in l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$, $\widehat{f}_*$, $\widehat{g}_* \in l^2_{\mathcal{V}}$, and the inner products* (3.1), (3.3) *take the form*

$$(\widehat{f},\ \widehat{g})_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\ \overline{\widehat{g}_*(\xi)}$$

and

$$(\widehat{f}_*,\ \widehat{g}_*)_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}_*(\xi)\ \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)},$$

respectively. In particular, we have

$$\overline{(\widehat{f},\ \widehat{g})_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}}} = (\widehat{g}_*,\ \widehat{f}_*)_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}}.$$

The Parseval identity takes the form

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\ \overline{\widehat{g}_*(\xi)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\widehat{f} \in l^2_{\mathcal{U}}$, $\widehat{f}_* \in l^2_{\mathcal{V}}$, and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} = \|\widehat{f}_*\|_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. By the definition we get

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} \widehat{g})(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} g)(\xi) = \widehat{g}_*(\xi)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} \widehat{g}_*)(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} g)(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi).$$

Hence it follows that

$$(\widehat{f},\ \widehat{g})_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} \widehat{g})(\xi)} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\ \overline{\widehat{g}_*(\xi)}$$

and

$$(\widehat{f}_*,\ \widehat{g}_*)_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}_*(\xi)\ \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} \widehat{g}_*)(\xi)} = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}_*(\xi)\ \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}.$$

To show Parseval's identity (3.4), using these properties and the biorthogonality of u_ξ's to v_η's, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g) &= \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi) u_\xi\ ,\ \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{g}_*(\eta) v_\eta \right) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}_*(\eta)}\, (u_\xi,\ v_\eta) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}_*(\xi)} = (\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}},\end{aligned}$$

proving (3.4). Taking $f = g$, we get

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = (f,f) = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{f_*}(\xi)} = (\widehat{f},\widehat{f})_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}} = \|\widehat{f}\|^2_{l^2_{\mathcal{U}}},$$

proving the first equality in (3.5). Then, by checking that

$$(f,f) = \overline{(f,f)} = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \overline{\widehat{f}(\xi)}\widehat{f}_*(\xi) = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}_*(\xi)\overline{\widehat{f}(\xi)} = (\widehat{f}_*,\widehat{f}_*)_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}} = \|\widehat{f}_*\|^2_{l^2_{\mathcal{V}}},$$

the proofs of (3.5) and of Theorem 3.1 are complete. □

3.2. **Hausdorff-Young inequality.** Now, we introduce a set of Banach spaces $\{\mathcal{H}^p\}_{1\le p\le\infty}$ with the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^p \subseteq \mathcal{H}$$

and with the property

$$|(x,y)_{\mathcal{H}}| \le \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}^q} \tag{3.6}$$

for all $1 \le p \le \infty$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. We assume that $\mathcal{H}^2 = \mathcal{H}$, and that $\mathcal{H}^p$ are real interpolation properties in the following sense:

$$(\mathcal{H}^1,\mathcal{H}^2)_{\theta,p} = \mathcal{H}^p,\ 0<\theta<1,\ \frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{2},$$

and

$$(\mathcal{H}^1,\mathcal{H}^2)_{\theta,p} = \mathcal{H}^p,\ 0<\theta<1,\ \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{2}.$$

We also assume that $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H}^p$ and $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}^p$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$.

If $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega)$ for some Ω, we could take $\mathcal{H}^p = L^2(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$. If $\mathcal{H} = S_2(\mathcal{K})$ is the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, then we can take $\mathcal{H}^p = S_2(\mathcal{K}) \cap S_p(\mathcal{K})$.

Below we introduce the p-Lebesgue versions of the spaces of Fourier coefficients. Here classical l^p spaces on $\mathcal{I}$ are extended in a way so that we associate them to the given biorthogonal systems.

Definition 3.2. Let us define spaces $l^p_{\mathcal{U}} = l^p(\mathcal{U})$ as the spaces of all $a : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|a\|_{l^p(\mathcal{U})} := \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |a(\xi)|^p \|u_\xi\|^{2-p}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad \text{for } 1 \le p \le 2, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\|a\|_{l^p(\mathcal{U})} := \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |a(\xi)|^p \|v_\xi\|^{2-p}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad \text{for } 2 \le p < \infty, \tag{3.8}$$

and, for $p = \infty$,

$$\|a\|_{l^\infty(\mathcal{U})} := \sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \big(|a(\xi)| \cdot \|v_\xi\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}\big) < \infty.$$

Here, without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_\xi \neq 0$ and $v_\xi \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$, so that the above spaces are well-defined.

Analogously, we introduce spaces $l^p_{\mathcal{V}} = l^p(\mathcal{V})$ as the spaces of all $b : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|b\|_{l^p(\mathcal{V})} = \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |b(\xi)|^p \|v_\xi\|^{2-p}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

$$\|b\|_{l^p(\mathcal{V})} = \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |b(\xi)|^p \|u_\xi\|^{2-p}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|b\|_{l^\infty(\mathcal{V})} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left(|b(\xi)| \cdot \|u_\xi\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \right).$$

Now, we recall a theorem on the interpolation of weighted spaces from Bergh and Löfström [BL76, Theorem 5.5.1]. Then we formulate some basic properties of $l^p(\mathcal{U})$.

Theorem 3.3 (Interpolation of weighted spaces)**.** *Let us write $d\mu_0(x) = \omega_0(x)d\mu(x)$, $d\mu_1(x) = \omega_1(x)d\mu(x)$, and write $L^p(\omega) = L^p(\omega d\mu)$ for the weight ω. Suppose that $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$. Then*

$$(L^{p_0}(\omega_0), L^{p_1}(\omega_1))_{\theta,p} = L^p(\omega),$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, and $\omega = \omega_0^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{p_0}} \omega_1^{\frac{p\theta}{p_1}}$.

From this we obtain the following property:

Corollary 3.4 (Interpolation of $l^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $l^p(\mathcal{V})$)**.** *For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we obtain*

$$(l^1(\mathcal{U}), l^2(\mathcal{U}))_{\theta,p} = l^p(\mathcal{U}),$$
$$(l^1(\mathcal{V}), l^2(\mathcal{V}))_{\theta,p} = l^p(\mathcal{V}),$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ and $p = \frac{2}{2-\theta}$.

Using Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 we get the following Hausdorff-Young inequality.

Theorem 3.5 (Hausdorff-Young inequality)**.** *Assume that $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then there exists a constant $C_p \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{l^{p'}(\mathcal{U})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\mathcal{U}} a\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}} \leq C_p \|a\|_{l^p(\mathcal{U})} \tag{3.9}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^p$ and $a \in l^p(\mathcal{U})$. Similarly, for all $b \in l^p(\mathcal{V})$ we obtain

$$\|\widehat{f}_*\|_{l^{p'}(\mathcal{V})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\mathcal{V}} b\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}} \leq C_p \|b\|_{l^p(\mathcal{V})}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove only (3.9) since (3.10) is similar. Note that (3.9) would follow from the $\mathcal{H}^1 \to l^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ and $l^1(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{H}^\infty$ boundedness in view of the Plancherel identity in Theorem 3.1 by interpolation, see e.g. Bergh and Löfström [BL76, Corollary 5.5.4].

Thereby, we can put $p = 1$. Then from (3.6) we have

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1},$$

and hence

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{l^\infty(\mathcal{U})} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \|v_\xi\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

The last estimate gives the first inequality in (3.9) for $p = 1$. For the second inequality, using

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}a) = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} a(\xi)u_\xi$$

we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}a\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \le \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |a(\xi)|\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} = \|a\|_{l^1(\mathcal{U})},$$

in view of the definition of $l^1(\mathcal{U})$, which gives (3.9) in the case $p = 1$. The proof is complete. □

Let us establish duality between spaces $l^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $l^q(\mathcal{V})$:

Theorem 3.6 (Duality of $l^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $l^q(\mathcal{V})$)**.** *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then*

$$(l^p(\mathcal{U}))' = l^q(\mathcal{V}) \quad \text{and} \quad (l^p(\mathcal{V}))' = l^q(\mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. The proof is standard. Meanwhile, we provide several details for clarity. The duality is given by

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \sigma_1(\xi)\sigma_2(\xi)$$

for $\sigma_1 \in l^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $\sigma_2 \in l^q(\mathcal{V})$. Let $1 < p \le 2$. Then, if $\sigma_1 \in l^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $\sigma_2 \in l^q(\mathcal{V})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)| &= \left|\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \sigma_1(\xi)\sigma_2(\xi)\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \sigma_1(\xi)\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{-(\frac{2}{p}-1)}\sigma_2(\xi)\right| \\
&\le \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |\sigma_1(\xi)|^p\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{p(\frac{2}{p}-1)}\right)^p \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |\sigma_2(\xi)|^q\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{-q(\frac{2}{p}-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \|\sigma_1\|_{l^p(\mathcal{U})}\|\sigma_2\|_{l^q(\mathcal{V})},
\end{aligned}$$

where that $2 \le q < \infty$ and that $\frac{2}{p} - 1 = 1 - \frac{2}{q}$ were used (last line). Now, let $2 < p < \infty$. If $\sigma_1 \in l^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $\sigma_2 \in l^q(\mathcal{V})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)| &= \left|\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \sigma_1(\xi)\sigma_2(\xi)\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \sigma_1(\xi)\|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{-(\frac{2}{p}-1)}\sigma_2(\xi)\right| \\
&\le \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |\sigma_1(\xi)|^p\|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{p(\frac{2}{p}-1)}\right)^p \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} |\sigma_2(\xi)|^q\|v_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}^{-q(\frac{2}{p}-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \|\sigma_1\|_{l^p(\mathcal{U})}\|\sigma_2\|_{l^q(\mathcal{V})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Put $p=1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)| &= \left|\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}\sigma_1(\xi)\sigma_2(\xi)\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}\sigma_1(\xi)\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}\|u_\xi\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}\sigma_2(\xi)\right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}|\sigma_1(\xi)|\,\|u_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}\right)\sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}|\sigma_2(\xi)|\,\|u_\xi\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \\ &= \|\sigma_1\|_{l^1(\mathcal{U})}\|\sigma_2\|_{l^\infty(\mathcal{V})}.\end{aligned}$$

The adjoint space cases could be proven in a similar way. □

4. Rigged Hilbert spaces

In this section we will investigate a rigged structure of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Especially, we will construct a (Gelfand) triple $(\Phi,\mathcal{H},\Phi')$ with the including property

$$\Phi\subset\mathcal{H}\subset\Phi',$$

where a role of the Φ will be played so called 'spaces of test functions' $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$ generated by the systems $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, respectively and by some sequence Λ of complex numbers. For this aim, let us fix some sequence $\Lambda:=\{\lambda_\xi\}_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}$ of complex numbers such that the series

$$\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}(1+|\lambda_\xi|)^{-s_0}<\infty, \tag{4.1}$$

converges for some $s_0>0$. Indeed, we build two triples, namely, $(\Phi_\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H},\Phi'_\mathcal{U})$ and $(\Phi_\mathcal{V},\mathcal{H},\Phi'_\mathcal{V})$, with $\Phi_\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$, $\Phi'_\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$ and $\Phi_\mathcal{V}:=\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$, $\Phi'_\mathcal{V}:=\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$. These triples allow us to extend the notions of $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–convolutions and $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–Fourier transforms outside of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 4.1. We associate to the pair $(\mathcal{U},\Lambda)$ a linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{L}f:=\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}\lambda_\xi(f,v_\xi)u_\xi, \tag{4.2}$$

for those $f\in\mathcal{H}$ for which the series converges in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is densely defined since $\mathcal{L}u_\xi=\lambda_\xi u_\xi$ for all $\xi\in\mathcal{I}$, and $\mathcal{U}$ is a basis in $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$ the domain of the operator $\mathcal{L}$, so that we have $\mathrm{Span}\,(\mathcal{U})\subset\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L})\subset\mathcal{H}$. We call $\mathcal{L}$ to be the operator associated to the pair $(\mathcal{U},\Lambda)$.

We note that this construction goes in the opposite direction to the investigations devoted to the development of the global theory of pseudo-differential operators associated to a fixed operator, as in the papers [DR14, DRT16, RT16, RT16a, RT16b], where one is given an operator $\mathcal{L}$ acting in $\mathcal{H}$ with the system of eigenfunctions $\mathcal{U}$ and eigenvalues Λ. In this case we could 'control' only one parameter, i.e. the operator $\mathcal{L}$. In the present (more abstract) point of view we have two parameters to control: the system $\mathcal{U}$ and the sequence of numbers Λ.

In a similar way to Definition 4.1, we define the operator $\mathcal{L}^*$ by

$$\mathcal{L}^* g := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \overline{\lambda_\xi}(g, u_\xi)v_\xi,$$

for those $g \in \mathcal{H}$ for which it makes sense. Then $\mathcal{L}^*$ is densely defined since $\mathcal{L}^* v_\xi = \overline{\lambda_\xi} v_\xi$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is a basis in $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathrm{Span}\,(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^*) \subset \mathcal{H}$. One readily checks that we have

$$(\mathcal{L}f, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = (f, \mathcal{L}^* g)_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi (f, v_\xi)(g, u_\xi)$$

on their domains.

We can now define the following notions:

(i) the spaces of $(\mathcal{U}, \Lambda)$– and $(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda)$–test functions are defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda} := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda} := \{\phi \in \mathcal{H} :\ |(\phi, v_\xi)| \le C(1 + |\lambda_\xi|)^{-k} \text{ for some constant } C \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathcal{I}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda} := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda} := \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} :\ |(\psi, u_\xi)| \le C(1 + |\lambda_\xi|)^{-k} \text{ for some constant } C \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

The topology of these spaces is defined by a natural choice of seminorms. We can define spaces of $(\mathcal{U}, \Lambda)$– and $(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda)$–distributions by $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda} := (\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda})'$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda} := (\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda})'$, as spaces of linear continuous functionals on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$, respectively. We follow the conventions of rigged Hilbert spaces to denote this duality by

$$\langle u, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}, \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}} = (u, \phi)_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{4.3}$$

extending the inner product on $\mathcal{H}$ for $u, \phi \in \mathcal{H}$, and similarly for the pair $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda} := (\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda})'$.

(ii) the $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–Fourier transforms

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(\phi)(\xi) := (\phi, v_\xi) =: \widehat{\phi}(\xi)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\psi)(\xi) := (\psi, u_\xi) =: \widehat{\psi}_*(\xi),$$

respectively, for arbitrary $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$, $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$ and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$, and hence by duality, these extend to $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$, respectively. Here we have

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(w), a\rangle = \langle w, \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\mathcal{V}}(a)\rangle, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda},\ a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}), \tag{4.4}$$

where the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$ is defined in (4.7). Indeed, for $w\in\mathcal{H}$ we can calculate

$$\langle\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(w),a\rangle=(\widehat{w},a)_{\ell^2(\mathcal{I})}=\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}(w,v_\xi)\overline{a(\xi)}$$
$$=\left(w,\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}a(\xi)v_\xi\right)=\left(w,\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}a\right)=\langle w,\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}a\rangle,$$

justifying definition (4.4). Similarly, we define

$$\langle\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(w),a\rangle=\langle w,\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(a)\rangle,\quad w\in\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda},\ a\in\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}).\tag{4.5}$$

The Fourier transforms of elements of $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda},\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$ can be characterised by the property that, for example, for $w\in\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$, there is $N>0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}w(\xi)|\leq C(1+|\lambda_\xi|)^N,\quad \text{for all }\ \xi\in\mathcal{I}.$$

(iii) $\mathcal{U}$– and $\mathcal{V}$–convolutions can be extended by the same formula:

$$f\star_{\mathcal{U}}g:=\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)u_\xi=\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}(f,v_\xi)(g,v_\xi)u_\xi$$

for example, for all $f\in\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ and $g\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$. It is well-defined since the series converges in view of properties from (i) above and assumption (4.1). By commutativity that spaces for f and g can be swapped. Similarly,

$$h\star_{\mathcal{V}}j:=\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}\widehat{h}_*(\xi)\widehat{j}_*(\xi)v_\xi=\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}(h,u_\xi)(j,u_\xi)v_\xi$$

for each $h\in\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$, $j\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$.

The space $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ can be also described in terms of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in (4.2). Namely, we have

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}=\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^k),\tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^k):=\{f\in\mathcal{H}:\ \mathcal{L}^if\in\mathcal{H},\,i=2,...,k-1\},$$

and similarly

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}=\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\mathrm{Dom}((\mathcal{L}^*)^k),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Dom}((\mathcal{L}^*)^k):=\{g\in\mathcal{H}:\ (\mathcal{L}^*)^ig\in\mathcal{H},\,i=2,...,k-1\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$ denote the space of rapidly decaying functions $\varphi:\mathcal{I}\to\mathbb{C}$. That is, $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$ if for any $M<\infty$ there exists a constant $C_{\varphi,M}$ such that

$$|\varphi(\xi)|\leq C_{\varphi,M}(1+|\lambda_\xi|)^{-M}\tag{4.7}$$

holds for all $\xi\in\mathcal{I}$. The topology on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$ is given by the seminorms p_k, where $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$p_k(\varphi):=\sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}}(1+|\lambda_\xi|)^k|\varphi(\xi)|.$$

Continuous anti-linear functionals on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$ are of the form

$$\varphi \mapsto \langle u, \varphi \rangle := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u(\xi)\overline{\varphi(\xi)},$$

where functions $u : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{C}$ grow at most polynomially at infinity, i.e. there exist constants $M < \infty$ and $C_{u,M}$ such that

$$|u(\xi)| \leq C_{u,M}(1 + |\lambda_\xi|)^M$$

holds for all $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$. Such distributions $u : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{C}$ form the space of distributions which we denote by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{I})$, with the distributional duality (as a Gelfand triple) extending the inner product on $\ell^2(\mathcal{I})$.

Summarising the above definitions and discussion, we record the basic properties of the Fourier transforms as follows:

Proposition 4.2. *The $\mathcal{U}$-Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$ is a bijective homeomorphism from $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$. Its inverse*

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}^{-1} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}^{-1} h = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} h(\xi) u_\xi, \quad h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}), \tag{4.8}$$

so that the Fourier inversion formula becomes

$$f = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi) u_\xi \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}. \tag{4.9}$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda} \to \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$ is a bijective homeomorphism and its inverse

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{V}^{-1} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{V}^{-1} h := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} h(\xi) v_\xi, \quad h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}), \tag{4.10}$$

so that the conjugate Fourier inversion formula becomes

$$f = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}_*(\xi) v_\xi \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}. \tag{4.11}$$

By (4.4) *the Fourier transforms extend to linear continuous mappings $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda} \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda} \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{I})$.*

The proof is straightforward.

Let us formulate the properties of the $\mathcal{U}$- and $\mathcal{V}$-convolutions:

Proposition 4.3. *For any $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$, $h \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}, j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{V},\Lambda}$ we have*

$$\widehat{f \star_\mathcal{U} g} = \widehat{f}\,\widehat{g}, \quad \widehat{h \star_\mathcal{V} j}_* = \widehat{h}_*\,\widehat{j}_*.$$

The convolutions are commutative and associative. If $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ then for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$ we have

$$f \star_\mathcal{U} g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Since the first part of the statement is proving in the same way as analogous one from Proposition 2.3, we will show only the property (4.12) which follows if we observe that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the series

$$\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)\lambda_\xi^k u_\xi$$

converges since $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I})$. □

Proposition 4.4. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is associated to a pair $(\mathcal{U}, \Lambda)$ then we have*

$$\mathcal{L}(f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g) = (\mathcal{L}f) \star_{\mathcal{U}} g = f \star_{\mathcal{U}} (\mathcal{L}g)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\Lambda}$.

Proof. The proof is valid since the equalities

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{L}(f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g))(\xi) = \lambda_\xi \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}((\mathcal{L}f) \star_{\mathcal{U}} g)(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{L}f)(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi) = \lambda_\xi \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)$$

are true for all $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$. □

As a small application, let us write the resolvent of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in terms of the convolution.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an operator associated to a pair $(\mathcal{U}, \Lambda)$. Then the resolvent of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is given by the formula*

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda)f := (\mathcal{L} - \lambda I)^{-1} f = g_\lambda \star_{\mathcal{U}} f, \quad \lambda \notin \Lambda,$$

where I is an identity operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and

$$g_\lambda = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{\lambda_\xi - \lambda} u_\xi.$$

Proof. Begin by calculating the following series

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda \star_{\mathcal{U}} f &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{\lambda_\xi - \lambda} \widehat{f}(\xi) u_\xi \\ &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi)(\mathcal{L} - \lambda I)^{-1} u_\xi \\ &= (\mathcal{L} - \lambda I)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} \widehat{f}(\xi) u_\xi \right) \\ &= (\mathcal{L} - \lambda I)^{-1} f \\ &= \mathcal{R}(\lambda) f, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the continuity of the resolvent. Now the theorem is proved. □

5. Examples

We give an example considered in [RT16] that can be also considered as an extension setting in an appropriate sense of the toroidal calculus studied in [RT10b].

Let the operator $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$ be given by the action

$$\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)} := -i\frac{d}{dx},$$

where $h > 0$, on the domain $(0,1)$ with the boundary condition $hy(0) = y(1)$. In the case $h = 1$ we have $\mathrm{O}_1^{(1)}$ with periodic boundary conditions, and the systems $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{O}_1^{(1)}$ and its adjoint $\mathrm{O}_1^{(1)^*}$ coincide, and are given by

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} = \{u_j(x) = e^{2\pi i x j},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This leads to the setting of the classical Fourier analysis on the circle which can be viewed as the interval $(0,1)$ with periodic boundary conditions. The corresponding pseudo-differential calculus was consistently developed in [RT10b] building on previous observations in the works by Agranovich [Agr79, Agr84] and others.

For $h \neq 1$, the operator $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$ is not self-adjoint. The spectral properties of $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$ are well-known (see Titchmarsh [Tit26] and Cartwright [Car30]), the spectrum of $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$ is discrete and is given by $\lambda_j = -i\ln h + 2j\pi,\ j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding bi-orthogonal families of eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$ and its adjoint are given by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{u_j(x) = h^x e^{2\pi i x j},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} = \{v_j(x) = h^{-x} e^{2\pi i x j},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

respectively. They form Riesz bases, and $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$ is the operator associated to the pair $\mathcal{U}$ and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j = -i\ln h + 2j\pi\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Formally, we can write

$$(f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g)(x) = \int\int F(x,y,z) f(y) g(z) dy dz, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$F(x,y,z) = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{I}} u_\xi(x)\ \overline{v_\xi(y)}\ \overline{v_\xi(z)}.$$

Here integrals (5.1) and the last series should be understood in the sense of distributions. In the case $h = 1$, it can be shown that $F(x,y,z) = \delta(x-y-z)$, see [RT10b].

For any $h > 0$, it can be shown that the $\mathcal{U}$–convolution coincides with Kanguzhin's convolution that was studied in [KT14] and [KTT15]:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0,1)$, $\mathcal{U} = \{u_j(x) = h^x e^{2\pi i x j},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j = -i\ln h + 2j\pi\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then the operator $\mathcal{L}$ associated to the pair $(\mathcal{U},\Lambda)$ coincides with $\mathrm{O}_h^{(1)}$. The corresponding $\mathcal{U}$-convolution can be written in the integral form:*

$$(f \star_{\mathcal{U}} g)(x) = \int_0^x f(x-t)g(t)dt + \frac{1}{h}\int_x^1 f(1+x-t)g(t)dt.$$

In particular, when $h=1$, we obtain

$$(f\star_{\mathcal{U}} g)(x)=\int_0^1 f(x-t)g(t)dt.$$

is the usual convolution on the circle.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Let us denote

$$K(f,g)(x):=\int_0^x f(x-t)g(t)dt+\frac{1}{h}\int_x^1 f(1+x-t)g(t)dt.$$

Then we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}(K(f,g))(\xi)\\
=&\int_0^1\int_0^x f(x-t)g(t)h^{-x}e^{-2\pi ix\xi}dtdx\\
&+\frac{1}{h}\int_0^1\int_x^1 f(1+x-t)g(t)h^{-x}e^{-2\pi ix\xi}dtdx\\
=&\int_0^1\left[\int_t^1 f(x-t)h^{-x}e^{-2\pi ix\xi}dx\right]g(t)dt\\
&+\int_0^1\left[\int_0^t f(1+x-t)h^{-(1+x)}e^{-2\pi i(1+x)\xi}dx\right]g(t)dt\\
=&\int_0^1\left[\int_t^1 f(x-t)h^{-(x-t)}e^{-2\pi i(x-t)\xi}dx\right]g(t)h^{-t}e^{-2\pi it\xi}dt\\
&+\int_0^1\left[\int_0^t f(1+x-t)h^{-(1+x-t)}e^{-2\pi i(1+x-t)\xi}dx\right]g(t)h^{-t}e^{-2\pi it\xi}dt\\
=&\int_0^1\left[\int_0^{1-t} f(z)h^{-z}e^{-2\pi iz\xi}dz\right]g(t)h^{-t}e^{-2\pi it\xi}dt\\
&+\int_0^1\left[\int_1^{1-t} f(z)h^{-z}e^{-2\pi iz\xi}dx\right]g(t)h^{-t}e^{-2\pi it\xi}dt\\
=&\int_0^1\left[\int_0^1 f(z)h^{-z}e^{-2\pi iz\xi}dz\right]g(t)h^{-t}e^{-2\pi it\xi}dt\\
=&\widehat{f}(\xi)\,\widehat{g}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Theorem 2.3, we obtain that $K(f,g)=f*_{\mathcal{U}} g$. □

6. Further discussion

We note that in the case when we are given an operator $\mathcal{L}$ for which the eigenfunctions do not make a basis of $\mathcal{H}$, other notions of convolutions are possible, still satisfying an analogue of Proposition 4.4. Here we can set up a convolution using the characterisation of the space of test functions given by (4.6).

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a linear densely defined operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Denote $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^\infty) := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^k)$ with $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^k) := \{f : \ \mathcal{L}^i f \in \mathcal{H},\, i = 2, ..., k-1\}$. We say that a bilinear associative and commutative operation $*_{\mathcal{L}}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-convolution if for any $f, g \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}(f \star_{\mathcal{L}} g) = (\mathcal{L}f) \star_{\mathcal{L}} g = f \star_{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{L}g).$$

Proposition 4.4 implies that $\mathcal{U}$-convolution is a special case of $\mathcal{L}$-convolutions:

Corollary 6.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is an operator associated with the pair $(\mathcal{U}, \Lambda)$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is a Riesz basis in $\mathcal{H}$. Then the $\mathcal{U}$–convolution $\star_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-convolution.*

We finally show that an $\mathcal{L}$-convolution does not have to be a $\mathcal{U}$-convolution for any choice of the set Λ.

For this, let us consider an $\mathcal{L}$-convolution associated to the so-called Ionkin operator considered in [I77]. The Ionkin operator $\mathcal{Y}$ is the operator in $\mathcal{H} := L^2(0,1)$ generated by the differential expression

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}, \ \ x \in (0,1),$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u(0) = 0, \ \ u'(0) = u'(1).$$

It has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_\xi = (2\pi\xi)^2, \ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and an extended set of eigenfunctions

$$u_0(x) = x, \ \ u_{2\xi-1}(x) = \sin(2\pi\xi x), \ \ u_{2\xi}(x) = x\cos(2\pi\xi x), \ \xi \in \mathbb{N},$$

which give a basis in $L^2(0,1)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{U}$. The corresponding biorthogonal basis is given by

$$v_0(x) = 2, \ \ v_{2\xi-1}(x) = 4(1-x)\sin(2\pi\xi x), \ \ v_{2\xi}(x) = 4\cos(2\pi\xi x), \ \xi \in \mathbb{N},$$

for more details, see [I77]. We consider the $\mathcal{Y}$–convolution (Ionkin–Kanguzhin's convolution) given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}(6.1)\quad f \star_{\mathcal{Y}} g(x) := {} & \frac{1}{2}\int_x^1 f(1+x-t)g(t)dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\int_{1-x}^1 f(x-1+t)g(t)dt + \int_0^x f(x-t)g(t)dt \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{1-x} f(1-x-t)g(t)dt + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x f(1+t-x)g(t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

This is a $\mathcal{Y}$-convolution (Ionkin–Kanguzhin's convolution) in the sense of Definition 6.1, namely, it satisfies

$$\mathcal{Y}(f \star_{\mathcal{Y}} g) = (\mathcal{Y}f) \star_{\mathcal{Y}} g = f \star_{\mathcal{Y}} (\mathcal{Y}g),$$

see [KT15]. For the collection

$$\mathcal{U} := \{u_\xi : \ \ u_0(x) = x,\, u_{2\xi-1}(x) = \sin(2\pi\xi x), \ u_{2\xi}(x) = x\cos(2\pi\xi x), \ \xi \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

it can be readily checked that the corresponding $\mathcal{U}$-Fourier transform satisfies

$$\widehat{f \star_{\mathcal{Y}} g}(0) = \widehat{f}(0)\widehat{g}(0),$$
$$\widehat{f \star_{\mathcal{Y}} g}(2\xi) = \widehat{f}(2\xi)\widehat{g}(2\xi),$$
$$\widehat{f \star_{\mathcal{Y}} g}(2\xi - 1) = \widehat{f}(2\xi - 1)\widehat{g}(2\xi) + \widehat{f}(2\xi)\widehat{g}(2\xi) + \widehat{f}(2\xi)\widehat{g}(2\xi - 1),\ \xi \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, the $\mathcal{Y}$–convolution (Ionkin–Kanguzhin's convolution) does not coincide with the $\mathcal{U}$–convolution for any choice of numbers Λ.

7. Acknowledgment

The authors thank Professor Baltabek Kanguzhin for useful discussions.

References

[Agr79] M. S. Agranovič. Spectral properties of elliptic pseudodifferential operators on a closed curve. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 13(4):54–56, 1979.

[Agr84] M. S. Agranovich. Elliptic pseudodifferential operators on a closed curve. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.*, 47:22–67, 246, 1984.

[Bar51] N. K. Bari. Biorthogonal systems and bases in Hilbert space. *Moskov. Gos. Univ. Učenye Zapiski Matematika*, 148(4):69–107, 1951.

[BL76] J. Bergh and J. Löfström. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223.

[Car30] M. L. Cartwright. The zeros of certain integral functions. *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.*, 1(1):38–59, 1930.

[DR14] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky. Fourier multipliers, symbols and nuclearity on compact manifolds. To appear in *J. Anal. Math.* (https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.6479)

[DRT16] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov. Schatten classes, nuclearity and nonharmonic analysis on compact manifolds with boundary. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2016.10.005

[Gel51] I. M. Gelfand. Remark on the work of N. K. Bari, "Biorthogonal systems and bases in Hilbert space.". Moskov. Gos. Univ. Ucenye Zapiski Matematika, 148(4):224–225, 1951.

[I77] N. I. Ionkin. The solution of a certain boundary value problem of the theory of heat conduction with a nonclassical boundary condition. (Russian) *Differencial'nye Uravnenija*, 13(2): 294-304, 1977.

[KT14] B. Kanguzhin and N. Tokmagambetov. The Fourier transform and convolutions generated by a differential operator with boundary condition on a segment. In *Fourier Analysis: Trends in Mathematics*, pages 235–251. Birkhäuser Basel AG, Basel, 2014.

[KT15] B. Kanguzhin and N. Tokmagambetov. Convolution, Fourier transform and Sobolev spaces generated by non-local Ionkin problem. *Ufimsk. Mat. Zh.*, 7(4):80–92. 2015.

[KTT15] B. Kanguzhin, N. Tokmagambetov, and K. Tulenov. Pseudo-differential operators generated by a non-local boundary value problem. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 60(1):107–117, 2015.

[RT10b] M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen. Quantization of pseudo-differential operators on the torus. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 16(6):943–982, 2010.

[RT16] M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov. Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2016(12):3548–3615, 2016.

[RT16a] M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov. Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems without WZ condition. To appear in *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.* (https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02159)

[RT16b] M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov. Very weak solutions of wave equation for Landau Hamiltonian with irregular electromagnetic field. To appear in *Lett. Math. Phys.*

[Tit26] E. C. Titchmarsh. The Zeros of Certain Integral Functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 25:283–302, 1926.

Michael Ruzhansky:
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London
180 Queen's Gate, London, SW7 2AZ
United Kingdom
E-mail address m.ruzhansky@imperial.ac.uk

Niyaz Tokmagambetov:
al–Farabi Kazakh National University
71 al–Farabi ave., Almaty, 050040
Kazakhstan,
and
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London
180 Queen's Gate, London, SW7 2AZ
United Kingdom
E-mail address n.tokmagambetov@imperial.ac.uk